

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 01838 160824 Z

13

ACTION IO-13

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

AID-20 EB-11 SPM-01 L-03 CIAE-00 DODE-00 INR-10

NSAE-00 PA-03 USIA-12 PRS-01 ABF-01 OMB-01 TRSE-00

RSR-01 /146 W

----- 075598

R 162325 Z MAY 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8003

UNCLAS USUN 1838

E. O. 11652 N/A

TAGS: AORG, U, ECOSOC, SPOP

SUBJECT: ECOSOC 54 - ITEM 12, POPULATION

1. SUMMARY. UNFPA POPULATION RES RE ITS NEW AIMS AND PURPOSES APPROVED 35( US-0-8 ( SOVIET GROUP AND FOUR LATINS) BY ECOSOC ECONOMIC COMMITTEE LATE 14 MAY FOLLOWING CO- SPONSORS ACCEPTANCE FIVE AMENDMENTS THAT, ON BALANCE, STRENGTHENED RES, AND THE VOTING DOWN OF ELEVEN ( FIVE BRAZILIAN, THREE SPANISH AND THREE RUSSION SPONSORED) PROPOSED AMENDMENTS AND THE WITHDRAWAL OF TWO OTHERS ( FRANCE AND SWEDEN) THAT WOULD HAVE SERVED BADLY DILUTE RES. FOUR HOUR SESSION ALSO FEATURED:  
1) DECISION BY COMITE TO RECOMMEND TO PLENARY BUCHAREST AS SITE OF WPC; 2) ATTACK BY SWEDEN RE NEED FOR ECOSOC SETTING NEW AIMS AND PURPOSES FOR UNFPA PRIOR WPC; 3) SHARP CAUSTIC REBUTTAL TO SWEDISH STATEMENT BY UK ; 4) BEHIND THE SECEN ATTEMPTS ( UNSUCCESSFUL) BY POPULATION DIVISION AND WHO TO LOBBY FOR AMENDMENTS THAT WOULD HAVE SEVERLY DELIMITED UNFPA' S SCOPE AND FREEDOM OF ACTION; AND 5) MASTERFUL JOB BY MALAYSIA SPOKESMAN FOR CO- SPONSORS IN FIELDING AMENDMENT PROPOSALS AND STEERING LARGELY UNSCATHED RES TO APPROVAL.  
END SUMMARY.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 01838 160824 Z

2. ECONOMIC COMMITTEE OF ECOSOC DECIDED BY CONSENSUS TO RECOMMEND TO PLENARY TO ACCEPT OFFER OF GOVERNMENT OF ROMANIA TO HOST WPC IN BUCHARES AUGUST 5-16 , 1974 .

3. SPEAKERS ON UNFPA RES ( E/ AC.6/ L.500/ REV.2) ON MAY 14 WERE SWEDEN, UK, INDIA, EGYPT, FINLAND, DENMARK, SPAIN, FRANCE, ARGENTINA, BRAZIL, PHILIPPINES, TUNISIA, ALGERIA AND MALAYSIA. SWEDEN, AFTER EXTENDED DISCOURSE ON UNTIME- LINESS OF SETTING NEW AIMS AND PURPOSES FOR UNFPA BEFORE WORLD POPULATION CONFERENCE, WITHDREW ITS AMENDMENT DUE TO CO- SPONSORS' CONCESSION IN FINAL PREAMBULATORY PARA TAKING NOTE OF CONFERENCE. SAID SWEDEN WAS " STAUNCH SUPPORTER" OF UNFPA, SAW IT LARGELY AS A FINANCING INSTI- TUTION, AND FAVORED NORMALIZATION OF UNFPA WITH GOVERNING COUNCIL AS STRONG INTERGOVERNMENTAL BODY MONITORING ITS WORK. SAID UP TO FUND " TO MOTIVATE HIGHTER CONTRIBUTION" BY BETTER ADMINISTRATION. ANNOUNCED 1973 SWEDISH PLEDGE OF \$3 MILLION, UNDERLINING IT WAS " SAME AS LAST YEAR." SWEDEN ATTACKED THREE OF THE FOUR AIMS AND PURPOSES OF THE UNFPA IN RES, SUBPARAS 1( A), ( B) AND ( D) . SAID THEY ATTEMPT TO MAKE " UNLIMITED NORMATIVE SHIFT FROM ECOSOC TO UNFPA." SWEDISH DEL ( WOLKENS) CONCLUDED PREPARED STATEMENT BY STATING ECOSOC WILL NOT BE ENHANCED IF NEW AIMS AND PURPOSES ADOPTED WITHOUT ITS IN- DEPTH CONSIDERA- TION OF THEM OVER TIME - SIMILAR TO THAT WHICH PRECEDED UNDP CONSENSUS.

4. UK DEL RETORTED THAT PROPOSED AIMS AND PURPOSES ALREADY SUBJECT OF EIGHT- MONTH STUDY BY REVIEW COMMITTEE OF UNFPA HEADED BY THE DISTINGUISHED SWEDISH ECONOMIST MICHANEK. SAID CONFUSING TO HIS DELEGATION TO HAVE SWEDISH GOVERN- MENT NOW REJECT SO RUDELY RECOMMENDATIONS DEVISED WITH THE COOPERATION OF EXPERTS FROM MANY COUNTRIES. UK SPOKESMAN POINTED OUT THERE WERE ( A) THOSE WHO CONTRIBUTED TO UNFPA, ( B) THOSE WHO BENEFITED , AND ( C) THOSE WHO DID NEITHER. HE FELT THE DONORS HAD A RIGHT TO INSIST ON GOOD MANAGEMENT OF UNFPA, BUT THAT THE BENEFICIARIES SHOULD BE THE MAJOR FORCE IN DETERMINING THE AIMS AND PURPOSES. EGYPT IN TIMELY INTERVENTION PRAISED BY UNFPA AND THE DRAFT RES. FINLAND AND DENMARK WERE BOTH HIGHLY APPREHENSIVE UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 01838 160824 Z

THAT RES DID NOT MAKE EXPLICIT THAT GOVERNING COUNCIL OF UNDP WAS ALSO IN - PARALLEL MANNER" GC OF UNFPA; CO- SPONSOR

PHILIPPINES ASSURED THESE DELEGATIONS GA RES 3019 MADE THIS ABUNDANTLY CLEAR AND CO- SPONSORS AND RES ACCEPTED THIS WITH NO INTENTION IN ANY WAY UNDERMINE WHAT RES 3019 HAD DONE THIS REGARD.

5. BRAZIL MADE ANOTHER LENGTHY INTERVENTION ON MAY 14, PRESSING ITS AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAFT RES ( FOR TEXT BRAZIL AMENDMENTS SEE USUN 1805) SPAIN ALSO TABLED AMENDMENTS ARGENTINA AND FRANCE PRESENTED ORAL AMENDMENTS. MALAYSIA REVIEWED WHICH AMENDMENTS, OR VARIATIONS THEROF, WERE ACCEPTABLE TO THE CO- SPONSORS. ALL DELEGATIONS MAKING AMENDMENTS WERE GIVEN AT LEAST SOME SATISFACTION EXCEPT BRAZIL. THE MALAYSIAN DEL SAID THE " APPROACH AND OUTLOOK" OF THE BRAZILIANS AND THE CO- SPONSORS WERE TOO DIFFERENT TO RECONCILE IN THE RES. SAID HE VIEWED BRAZIL AMENDMENTS AS COMPETING RES.

6. NEVERTHELESS, WHEN BRAZIL' S AMENDMENTS CAME UP FIRST FOR PARA BY PARA VOTE, THERE WAS SURPRISING STRENGTH FOR THE BELOW QUOTED CHAPEAU ( ON WHICH A SEPARATE VOTE REQUESTED BY SWEDEN): "1. STATES THAT UNTIL SUCH TIME A DEFINITE DECISION IS TAKEN, IN THE LIGHT OF THE ADVICE OF WORLD POPULATION CONFERENCE, 1974, THE AIMS AND PURPOSES OF THE UNITED NATIONS FUND FOR POPULATION ACTIVITIES WILL BE THE FOLLOWING": 15-20 ( US)-7. SUBSEQUENT BRAZILIAN AMENDMENTS ( SEE USUN 1805 FOR TEXT OF AMENDMENT) WERE ALL DEFEATED BY CONFORABLE MARGINS. PARAS 1( A), 8-25-9; 1( B) 9-26-8; ( C) 8-25-9; PARA  
E E E E E E E

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* UNCLASSIFIED

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 16 MAY 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973USUNN01838  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** n/a  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** USUN NEW YORK  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730547/aaaajjuq.tel  
**Line Count:** 139  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION IO  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** thigpegh  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:** ANOMALY  
**Review Date:** 24 OCT 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <24-Oct-2001 by kuehnbc0>; APPROVED <07 FEB 2002 by thigpegh>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> gwr 980219  
**Subject:** ECOSOC 54 - ITEM 12, POPULATION  
**TAGS:** AORG, UN, AORG, ECOSOC  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005